


INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification⁴ : G01S 13/06, 13/48	A1	(11) International Publication Number: WO 88/ 08544 (43) International Publication Date: 3 November 1988 (03.11.88)
(21) International Application Number: PCT/US88/00810 (22) International Filing Date: 15 March 1988 (15.03.88) (31) Priority Application Number: 045,911 (32) Priority Date: 1 May 1987 (01.05.87) (33) Priority Country: US (71) Applicant: SUNDSTRAND DATA CONTROL, INC. [US/US]; 15001 N.E. 36th Street, P.O. Box 97001, Redmond, WA 98073-9701 (US). (72) Inventor: CHISHOLM, John, P. ; 3620 Fiskin, Reno, NV 89506 (US). (74) Agent: YATSKO, Michael, S.; 4949 Harrison Avenue, P.O. Box 7003, Rockford, IL 61125 (US).		(81) Designated States: AT (European patent), AU, BE (European patent), CH (European patent), DE (European patent), FR (European patent), GB (European patent), IT (European patent), JP, KR, LU (European patent), NL (European patent), SE (European patent). Published <i>With international search report.</i>

(54) Title: MULTI-MODE MICROWAVE LANDING SYSTEM**(57) Abstract**

A guidance system for landing an aircraft is described which uses a source of signals identifiable with the aircraft and a ground station which is linked to the aircraft. Specifically, the ground station includes a receiver (51) which is connected to one or more pairs of antennas (52, 53, 54, 55) having a fixed, overlapping, directional sensitive pattern (52a, 53a, 54a, 55a) symmetrically located relative to the center of the landing path, a receiver and a processor (60) for measuring the relative sensitivity of the signals received at the antennas and for using the relative signal intensity to determine the location of the aircraft (61) relative to the center of the landing path.

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AT	Austria	FR	France	ML	Mali
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	MR	Mauritania
BB	Barbados	GB	United Kingdom	MW	Malawi
BE	Belgium	HU	Hungary	NL	Netherlands
BG	Bulgaria	IT	Italy	NO	Norway
BJ	Benin	JP	Japan	RO	Romania
BR	Brazil	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	SD	Sudan
CF	Central African Republic	KR	Republic of Korea	SE	Sweden
CG	Congo	LI	Liechtenstein	SN	Senegal
CH	Switzerland	LK	Sri Lanka	SU	Soviet Union
CM	Cameroon	LU	Luxembourg	TD	Chad
DE	Germany, Federal Republic of	MC	Monaco	TG	Togo
DK	Denmark	MG	Madagascar	US	United States of America
FI	Finland				

MULTI-MODE MICROWAVE LANDING SYSTEM

Technical Field

This invention is related to the general subject of aircraft guidance and control systems and, in particular, to those systems used for landing and otherwise guiding
05 an aircraft using microwave radiation.

Background of the Invention

There are two well established and different techniques for guiding aircraft to a safe landing in inclement weather. A first technique consists of
10 radiating signals from ground based directional antennas with such signals being received in the aircraft and processed accordingly to provide aircraft guidance to a landing. The airborne processor attached to the receiver operates on the received signals in accordance with
15 knowledge of the nature of the guidance beams radiated. This first technique can be classified as an "air derived" system, since the basic guidance data is derived in the aircraft. ILS, the newer MLS and the system described in my U.S. Patent 4,429,312 are air derived systems.

A second technique uses a ground based radar that locates the aircraft via conventional radar practices, (i.e., radiating a transmission and determining the aircraft location via ground based reception) using directional antenna(s), and the aircraft skin echo resulting from that transmission. This second technique can be classified as a "ground derived" system, since the basic aircraft location is derived on the ground and relayed to the aircraft, via voice, for example. GCA (Ground Controlled Approach), also called PAR (Precision Approach Radar), is an example of such a ground derived system. A basic advantage of GCA, is that it can be used to recover minimally equipped aircraft in that all that is required in the aircraft to obtain guidance data is a voice radio that the pilot can use to receive the ground derived guidance data. GCA is thus highly desirable for military purposes and currently finds its use in such applications.

The nature of the guidance technique employed in air derived systems can be further classified into the use of fixed beams and scanning beams. An example of the use of fixed beams is provided by ILS and by the system described in my U.S. Patent 4,429,312. In ILS, paired overlapping fixed beams define a localizer, or azimuth guidance path, and additional paired, overlapping fixed beams define a glideslope path. When the aircraft receiver and associated guidance processor separately indicate that the localizer and glideslope paired, overlapping fixed guidance beam signals are of equal

intensity, the aircraft is on the desired landing approach path. ILS is characterized by providing only one desired, prescribed, or predetermined landing path, generally runway centerline and one fixed glideslope. It is further characterized by relatively simply ground based equipment employing an array of fixed beam antennas.

An example of the use of scanning beams in an air derived system is provided by the relative new FAA approved MLS. In MLS, a narrow precision guidance beam is scanned in azimuth, about the runway centerline. Additionally, a separate beam is scanned in elevation. In the aircraft, a receiver and associated processor detects the passage of such scanning beams and, together with knowledge of the nature of the scanning process, determines the aircraft location with respect to a desired landing path. MLS is characterized by providing pilot selectable approach paths. MLS is also characterized by highly complex ground equipment, required for the generation of the scanning beams.

GCA provides an example of the use of scanning beams in a ground derived system. GCA employs separate azimuth and elevation narrow scanning beams for aircraft location and associated recovery purposes. These beams scan the desired approach region in azimuth, and in elevation, and determine aircraft location with respect to a desired azimuth and elevation approach path by virtue of the range and azimuth (or elevation) at which the aircraft skin echo is detected in such azimuth and elevation scanning processes.

-4-

05 ILS, an air derived fixed beam guidance system,
evolved prior to the development of the scanning beam GCA
system. The development of scanning beam MLS was
initiated in the 60's, long after both GCA and ILS were
operational, as an ILS replacement system that would
ostensibly overcome the then existing deficiencies of the
fixed beam low frequency ILS system. In this MLS
development program, scanning beams, as opposed to fixed
beam guidance technology, were employed in order to
10 provide the pilot flexibility in selecting a landing
guidance path.

15 Currently, the MLS program faces serious user
acceptance to its being implemented as an ILS replacement
system. This objection is primarily based on the fact
that the fixed beam ILS system, with the improvements
that have been made in it since the 60's, provides very
acceptable landing guidance.

20 The military, however, still has a need for a GCA
type of system for operating in the battle environment
with minimally configured aircraft, both manned and
unmanned (drones or RPV's). The complex scanning beam
mechanism of current GCA equipment, with its associated
initial cost and required maintenance, poses a serious
drawback to its continued use however and, hence, the
25 military is tending to transition to air derived systems
such as ILS, and the microwave fixed beam landing system
described in my U.S. Patent 4,429,312, and MLS, if MLS
ground equipment can be made sufficiently light and
compact, a task that has yet to be accomplished, despite
30 expenditure of considerable time and effort.

-5-

What is required then is a ground derived aircraft recovery system without the complexity and cost of the current GCA scanning beam system.

Summary of the Invention

05 In accordance with one object of my invention, I
provide a greatly simplified ground derived landing
system, in contrast to present scanning beam GCA. In my
invention, ground derived landing guidance is generated
through the use of paired, overlapping fixed beams,
10 aligned with the landing guidance path, rather than
complex scanning beams. These paired, overlapping beams
receive a signal radiated by the aircraft, which signal
is then processed to generate landing guidance. In the
most basic embodiment, an aircraft radiated signal is
15 generated using a skin echo from a ground based
transmitter associated with the fixed beam guidance
antenna array, and that signal is received via the fixed
beam guidance array and processed on the ground to derive
information with regard to the location of the aircraft
20 relative to the beams, and hence relative to the desired
landing guidance path. This basic embodiment is an
elementary fixed beam Ground Controlled Approach (GCA)
System, in contrast to a scanning beam GCA.

 In another embodiment of my invention, the aircraft
25 radiated signal is generated by a low powered transmitter
or radio beacon in the aircraft. That radiated signal is
received by the fixed beam ground based guidance antenna
array and processed to generate information with regard
to the location of the aircraft with respect to the
30 paired, overlapping fixed beams, and hence with respect

to the desired landing guidance path. This allows one to use a low powered airborne transmitter and has the advantage of greatly simplifying the ground station; it has the disadvantage of requiring a beacon or extra
05 equipment in the aircraft. However, many military aircraft are already equipped with a suitable beacon; hence, no added equipment is needed, which is a significant advantage of this embodiment of my invention.

In still another embodiment of my invention, I
10 teach a method and apparatus for the interleaving of both air derived and ground derived modes of operation via use of one ground station, thus offering improved flexibility and greatly reduced overall cost and complexity for recovering aircraft by a variety of methods.

15 Many other advantages and features of my invention will become apparent from the drawings and description which follows.

Brief Description of the Drawings

FIG. 1 presents an air derived landing system
20 described in my U.S. Patent 4,429,312;

FIG. 2 depicts an existing scanning beam GCA system;

FIG. 3 is a block diagram of the basic embodiment of my invention;

25 FIG. 4 depicts the use of the ground equipment of FIG. 1 to provide ground derived guidance data, using an airborne transmitter;

FIG. 5 depicts an implementation of my invention when used to automatically recover Naval aircraft without requiring the addition of any equipment to the aircraft;

05 FIG. 6 depicts the use of ILS equipment for conveying ground derived landing guidance data to the aircraft;

FIG. 7 shows one version of interleaved operation of ground and air derived methods of aircraft recovery;

10 FIG. 8 describes a second version of interleaved operation of ground and air derived methods of aircraft recovery; and

FIG. 9 is a pictorial representation of interleaved ground and air derived modes of operation for my invention.

15 Detailed Description

While my invention is susceptible of embodiment in many different forms, there is shown in the drawings and will herein be described in detail several preferred embodiments of the invention. It should be understood, however, that the present disclosure is to be considered an exemplification of the principles of the invention and is not intended to limit the invention to the specific embodiments illustrated and described.

20

The preferred embodiments of my invention are best understood by first describing one embodiment of the air derived landing system described in my U.S. Patent

25

4,429,312 and a typical GCA scanning beam ground derived landing system.

PTAG

05 FIG. 1 illustrates the azimuth portion of one
embodiment of the air derived landing system covered by
my U.S. Patent 4,424,312. This embodiment is for a split
site system (i.e., one in which there is a separate
localizer installation, on centerline, at the far end of
the runway, and a glideslope installation, not shown,
10 near touchdown, at the approach end of the runway,
similar to the split site siting of well known prior art
ILS installations). That system is currently marketed by
Sundstrand Data Control under the tradename "PTAG".

A ground based radio frequency transmitter 10
15 generates pulse sequences. Each sequence comprises
multiple pulses having predetermined time spacings, and
the sequences occur at spaced time intervals, which
intervals are large, compared to the duration of the
pulse sequence. The transmitter 10 is sequentially
20 connected, under control of logic unit 10a, via a line 2
and switch 3 to four antennas 4, 5, 6 and 7 that radiate
two sets of paired, overlapping beams 9 and 14, and 11
and 12 of FIG. 1B, with each set respectively defining
the same azimuth guidance path 13 by virtue of equal
25 left/right signal intensity for such paired, overlapping
beams.

In the aircraft, the signal is received via an
antenna 15 and receiver 16. The output of the receiver
is employed by processor 17 to generate guidance data by

virtue of the comparison of the relative signal intensity received in the aircraft of signals from sets of paired, overlapping fixed beams. The generated guidance data is used to drive a conventional cross pointer type of guidance display 18. For the azimuth only embodiment shown in FIG. 1A, only the localizer needle 19 would be displaced in accordance with the processor derived guidance data. The glideslope needle 20 would be activated in response to a glideslope ground installation (not shown for purpose of simplicity).

In can be noted that these two sets of paired beams, each of which pairs overlap on centerline, have different beam widths, one pair having wide beams 11 and 12, and one set employing narrow beams 9 and 14.

Each set of paired, overlapping beams provides the same basic guidance function. The wider beams provide the wider coverage coarse guidance function 21 of FIG. 1C, and the narrower beams provide the more restricted, in angle, precision guidance coverage 21a. The wider angular function (since it is generated by wider angular beams that could encompass lateral reflecting objects such as hangers adjacent to the landing runway) is susceptible to course errors generated by multipath signals and, therefore, has a tendency to have guidance function perturbations (such as depicted, 21b, in FIG. 1C). For this reason, the two wide beams 11 and 12 are generally used to provide only coarse (CGC) fly/left, fly/right guidance to provide the pilot a means of intercepting the coverage region of the narrower beams 9 and 14, which narrower beams provide precision proportional guidance (PGC) without perturbations, useful

for the final straight in azimuth approach to touchdown. FIG. 1D outlines the resulting combined guidance function generated in this manner. The resulting guidance function provides fly/left, fly/right information until the narrower beams are intercepted, at which time linear proportional angular guidance (LPG) becomes available, also as depicted in FIG. 1D.

This use of two sets of coarse and precision paired beams are also utilized in ILS where the coarse beams are termed "capture beams". The use of such ILS capture beams is one of the improvements made in ILS since the 60's, which improvements are the reason that MLS is not finding acceptance, as noted previously, due to the complexity of its scanning beam technology in contrast to fixed beam technology and the satisfactory performance of fixed beams, as presently implemented. Similarly, in some MLS installations (i.e., ones having restricted scanning beam coverage), similar coarse fixed beams are used to permit capture of the region covered by the precision guidance scanning beam. In MLS, these fixed coarse guidance beams are termed "clearance beams".

In the aircraft, these beamed signals, sequentially received, contain identifying codes that identify the specific fixed beamed received signals and, hence, permit the processor to appropriately identify and thus properly utilize their measured intensities to provide the guidance function (FIG. 1D), which would be customarily displayed on the vertically oriented needle of the cross pointer display 18 of FIG. 1A. In FIG's. 1A and 1D, the needle 19 would be "pegged" at left or right position for fly/left 22 or fly/right 23 data, and would deviate

-11-

linearly between such pegged positions to display precision proportional guidance (PGC) 24 over a specified region, typically ± 2.5 degrees or ± 5 degrees.

GCA

05 FIG. 2A depicts the prior art operation of a typical GCA system 29, also called PAR (Precision Approach Radar). In FIG. 2A, there is depicted the azimuth 30 and elevation 31 scanning beams that scan in azimuth over a nominal region of 20 degrees and elevation
10 over 7 degrees. GCA is a co-located system as opposed to a split site system (i.e., the azimuth and elevation beams radiate from a common equipment, located at one site). The guidance data, derived at the GCA site 29 is typically relayed over a land line 32 to a radio
15 transmitter 33 where it is relayed 34, typically by a voice radio to an aircraft 35. FIG. 2B is a block diagram of the azimuth portion of a GCA system. The elevation portion is similar.

 In FIG. 2B, a transmitter 37 is connected to an
20 azimuth antenna 38 with a narrow beam 30 that scans in azimuth. The skin echo 35a from aircraft 35 is first detected by receiver 40, is then processed by a processor 41a and then displayed 39 on a CRT (Cathode Ray Tube) 41 as shown in FIG. 2B. Of interest in FIG. 2B is the fact
25 that the azimuth display scale 45 is not linear, but tends to be compressed, for example in a logarithmic manner, thus reducing the display scale sensitivity for increased deviation off azimuth. This is because for large deviations off azimuth, which occur at longer
30 ranges, the ground controller need only provide the pilot

-12-

coarse guidance data, such as to fly a certain magnetic heading, thus permitting him to perform a centerline intercept. When close 42 to centerline 43, the ground controller can usefully employ the expanded azimuth scale in that region to provide more refined azimuth guidance to the pilot, to thus permit him to more clearly approach the desired centerline azimuth guidance path.

The processor 41a generates the rectangular co-ordinate display 41 by utilizing the angular position of antenna 38, as connected to the processor 41a by a lead 47 and the range data associated with the time of reception of skin echos 35a, with respect to the time of transmitter 37 radiation, as inputed into the processor through a lead or a wire 48. The processor also generates the compressed display scales 45 and 46. Now the processor 41a can also range and angle track the skin echo using well know prior art "track-while-scan" techniques. With knowledge of such range and azimuth position of the aircraft, the processor has the ability to output to a display 49 similar to the display 18 of FIG. 1A, a guidance function similar to that of FIG. 1D (i.e., one in which the controller, that is to provide guidance to the aircraft pilot, sees fly/left, fly/right data until the centerline is approached, at which time linear proportional guidance (LPG) data becomes available). This guidance function is, in many respects, similar to the guidance function provided by display 41 of FIG. 2B (i.e., it provides coarse guidance data equivalent to fly/left fly/right data until centerline is approached, at which time linear proportional guidance (LPG) data becomes available for controller use).

Specifically, the large displacement portion of the FIG. 2B display corresponds to the fly/left, fly/right portion of FIG. 1C, and the expanded centerline position of the FIG. 2B corresponds to the non-pegged, or linear proportional region (LPG) of FIG. 1D. The ground based GCA controller would have a guidance display similar to that used by a pilot making an ILS or fixed beam type of air derived approach, and would be just as useful. In other words, the ground based GCA controller could relay to the pilot, by voice for example, information provided by a ground based display of the FIG. 1D type, for aircraft recovery purposes. Such ground based fly/left, fly/right guidance is just as useful for aircraft guidance purposes, whether generated and displayed on the ground for controller relay to the aircraft, or generated and displayed in the aircraft for direct pilot use.

First Embodiment

FIG. 3 depicts the azimuth portion of one version of a ground derived aircraft recovery system in accordance with my invention. In FIG. 3A, a transmitter 50 with associated receiver 51 is sequentially connected via wire 57 and switch 56, controlled by switch control unit 58, to four antennas 52, 53, 54 and 55 that generate paired, overlapping fixed guidance beams, both wide and narrow, with patterns 52a, 53a, 54a, and 55a. In the following discussion, it is assumed that the aircraft 35 is within the noted overall angular coverage of ± 40 degrees, and at a range consistent with system radiated power (i.e., within a range such that a useable skin echo will always be received on at least one of the four noted antennas). The transmitter and associated receiver, when

-14-

switched to a particular antenna, is left connected to that particular antenna for a length of time adequate to receive a skin echo 35a back from an aircraft at a maximum range of interest, say 15 miles or about 180
05 microseconds in time.

The signal radiated, when the transmitter 50 is connected to an antenna, is received at the aircraft 35, where a skin echo 35a is generated. This skin echo is received on the ground via the same antenna and connected
10 receiver, where an attached processor 60 acquires and range tracks the skin echo and measures the echo amplitude. A similar procedure is implemented as the transmitter and receiver is sequentially connected to the other three antennas. The range and amplitudes of all
15 detected echoes are stored in processor 60 and processed in accordance with knowledge of antenna patterns, as in present practice for fixed beam air derived systems such as described in my U.S. Patent 4,429,312, to provide
20 aircraft location in range and azimuth. This location data is then used to drive display 61 of FIG. 3A in a conventional left/right needle manner with associated range meter 62, displaying range.

It can be noted that, depending on the aircraft location with respect to the coverage and gain of
25 specific antennas, there may not be a signal (skin echo) received from the aircraft when a particular antenna is connected to the transmitter 50 that is strong enough to be detected and used. This absence of an echo for any
30 particular antenna use does not, however, preclude the generation of fly/left, fly/right data for controlling the aircraft towards the centerline 13, at which time

-15-

coverage by all four antennas is provided. This is because, as discussed above, the aircraft is assumed to be within the overall ± 40 degrees angular coverage of the system, and within a range (nominally 15 miles) such that a skin echo will always be generated by use of one or more of the four noted antennas and the radiated power associated with the system. I have found that if an echo is generated from use of one such antenna, such as one of the broad beam antennas 52, with pattern 52a, such an echo can be used to generate fly/left or fly/right guidance data that can be used to guide the aircraft towards centerline 13, such that echos will be generated by all four antennas, including these used to provide linear proportional guidance.

FIG. 3B provides additional information on this subject, depicting the transmitted and received signals for different switched 56 positions and different aircraft locations with respect to the antenna patterns 52a, 53a, 54a and 55a.

In FIG. 3B, there is shown the time 65 at which the ground transmitter radiates, and the relative amplitudes of skin echos from aircraft at different angular positions, with respect to the antenna patterns and at different ranges. Four transmissions are illustrated in FIG. 3B for a total of five aircraft at five different angular locations with respect to the antenna patterns, specifically at -40 degrees, -5 degrees, 0 degrees, $+5$ degrees and $+40$ degrees, and at five different ranges, 4, 6, 8, 10 and 12 miles. All echoes are shown normalized in range. Showing five aircraft at five different ranges and five angular positions, with range normalized

-16-

returns, is "equivalent" to having one aircraft at the same range, moved to five different angular positions. FIG. 3B, with aircraft at different ranges and angular positions, is just a convenient graphical representation to employ, to explain my invention.

For an aircraft at -40 degrees and at four miles, a skin echo 66 will only be received for the transmitter connected to antenna 52, with pattern 52a, since the aircraft at that angular position is not within the angular coverage of the other antennas.

For an aircraft at -5 degrees, and at six miles, skin echoes 67, 68, 69 and 70 will be received by all antennas, with the relative amplitudes shown, when the receiver is switched to them. For the aircraft on centerline, at eight miles, equal intensity echoes 71 will be received for the transmitter connected to any of the antennas, in accordance with the noted antenna patterns 56a, 57a, 58a and 59a. For an aircraft at +5 degrees, the relative echo intensities will be as shown. For an aircraft at +40 degrees, an echo 72 will only be received with the transmitter connected to antenna 53 with pattern 53a. The resulting derived guidance data, generated by processor 60 and displayed on display 61, can then be utilized by a ground based controller to recover aircraft.

It can be further noted that the transmitted signal can be radiated from a single (i.e., a fifth) antenna, with a relatively uniform radiating pattern encompassing the ± 40 degrees coverage desired, with the original four directive antennas 52, 54, 53 and 55 being switched to a

receiver, separate from the transmitter, for the generation of landing guidance data. The transmitter and its associated antenna, should be relatively close to the switched receiving antennas and at a known location with respect to them in this configuration.

Second Embodiment

Another form of my invention consists of having the aircraft 35 carry a transmitter. It is the signal 35a from this airborne transmitter, as received by the ground receiver 51 attached to the switched antennas 52, 53, 54 and 55 that is used to generate the ground derived landing guidance instead of the skin echo discussed previously. In one implementation of this configuration, the airborne transmitter is part of a beacon (i.e., receiver and associated pulse transmitter, see FIG. 3C), and this beacon, upon reception of the signal from the ground transmitter used to generate the skin echo, transmits a single pulse reply, which is processed just like a skin echo. The beacon transmission would be delayed by a known constant amount, say 10 microseconds, so that it would appear after the skin echo, so as to be distinguishable from it.

A benefit of using an airborne transmitter, as part of a beacon for example, is that the airborne transmitter radiation can be used to generate a detectable reply without the need for the high powered ground transmitter required to generate a skin echo, thus greatly simplifying the ground station. Specifically, the ground station transmitter 50 can be a very much lower powered solid state unit and, hence, extremely small and

-18-

lightweight. Such a low powered compact ground transmitting unit is desirable for certain unique military requirements where ground station weight and portability is critical.

05 The airborne beacon may require, or it may be
desirable to have it reply to, a coded pulse pair, rather
than a single pulse, for reasons discussed later. In the
case where skin echo operation is not required and only a
low powered ground station transmitter is employed, this
10 is readily accomplished (i.e., the low powered solid
state unit can readily transmit a coded two pulse beacon
interrogating signal). When both skin echo and beacon
operation is desired, it is not required, although it is
still practical, for the high powered transmitter to
15 radiate dual pulse coded interrogations since airborne
beacons have logarithmic receivers which can generate the
desired two pulse coded output signal to trigger the
airborne transmission, even if the received two pulse
signals consist of a high level and associated low level
20 signal. The ground station transmitter would thus
radiate a signal for skin echo generation, followed by a
second low level transmission (the same transmitter may
be used) for coded beacon triggering. A usable skin echo
would be received from the high powered transmission and
25 a usable beacon reply from the combination of the high
powered and low level ground station transmission. The
skin echoes generated from the low level ground station
transmission would be, as such, low level, so as not to
mask the skin echoes from the high level transmissions.

30 If the beacon only generates a single pulse reply,
as discussed above, then ground based switching operation

and data processing is essentially identical to the skin echo operation. The beacon can, however, readily transmit two or more pulses, thus permitting a different mode of antenna switching and processing, which operation
05 can generate all the required signal strength data for ground based generation of the guidance data for each ground station transmission, rather than requiring four transmissons, as discussed above, for the skin echo and the single pulse beacon reply case. This somewhat
10 different mode of operation is discussed below, for the case whereby the beacon radiates a four pulse reply. The ground station block diagram would be as in FIG. 3A, with only a change in switching operation, as outlined in FIG. 4 and as discussed below.

15 As in FIG. 3A, the ground based transmitter is sequentially connected to and radiated in sequence from each of the four antennas. The particular antenna that is used for a particular sequential radiation is left connected to the transmitter and associated receiver for
20 a length of time adequate to receive a beacon reply signal back from an aircraft at the maximum range of interest, say 15 miles. The transmitted signal, as received in the aircraft, is used to initiate a similar frequency beacon reply. The beacon reply, however,
25 consists of four pulses, spaced several microseconds apart, instead of the single pulse discussed previously.

As noted in the case of the FIG. 3A configuration, the aircraft is not always in the coverage of all the ground antennas and, hence, the transmitted signal, as
30 radiated for a particular switch position (i.e., connected to a particular antenna), may not be strong

enough, as received in the aircraft, to trigger a beacon reply.

05 However, if an aircraft is within the maximum range
of interest and is within the defined ± 40 degrees
angular coverage of the aircraft recovery system, then a
signal transmitted from at least one of the guidance
antennas will generate a four pulse beacon reply. The
10 first pulse of this beacon reply, as received on the
ground, can then be detected and range tracked by the
ground based guidance processor 60 attached to the
receiver 51 for at least one switch position and
associated connected antenna (i.e., transmitter radiation
15 from one of the antennas will always trigger the beacon
in an aircraft), provided it is within the angular
coverage and prescribed range of the aircraft recovery
system. This guidance processor then contains stored
information as to the range of a particular aircraft
(i.e., the time after transmission at which the first
20 pulse of the four pulse beacon reply will be received).
The processor can then be used to rapidly and
sequentially connect the other three antennas to the
receiver, at a time coincident with the known range of a
particular aircraft, and at a speed such that the next
25 three pulses will be detected, if strong enough when
received by such switched antennas, and then measure
their amplitudes to generate either fly/left, fly/right
guidance or linear proportional guidance, if for example
the aircraft is within the coverage of the precision
30 fixed beam guidance antennas.

30 In review, the overall operation is as follows:
The transmitter 50 is sequentially connected to the four

antennas and radiates transmissions. The use of at least one of such four antennas will result in a beacon transmission. The first pulse of the four pulse beacon reply is range tracked. This establishes in the processor 60 the time after transmission at which beacon replies can be expected. As the first of these replies from the aircraft at closest range is received, the amplitude of it, as received on the antenna, which is switched to the transmitter and associated receiver for this interrogation, is measured. The other three antennas are then rapidly switched so that the next three beacon replies are received on different antennas, and their amplitudes measured. The switch is then connected back to the original antenna, awaiting the beacon replies from the aircraft next in range. When the last expected reply is obtained, from an aircraft at the maximum range coverage of the system, the transmitter is connected to a next antenna, transmits, and the sequence is repeated.

This process can be implemented for multiple aircraft on final. It should be noted that the time interval associated with the radiation of the four beacon pulses should be short enough so as not to encompass the propagation time associated with the nominal separation of two aircraft in succession on final, nominally two miles, or 24 microseconds. A beacon pulse spacing of several microseconds is thus adequate in this regard.

FIG. 4 provides additional information on the timing sequence of operation, depicting the transmitted and received beacon signals for different switch positions and different aircraft angular locations with respect to the antenna patterns 52a, 53a, 54a and 55a.

In FIG. 4, there is shown the time 75 at which the ground transmitter radiates and the times at which the relative amplitudes of the beacon replies for aircraft at different angular positions with respect to the different antenna patterns, and at different ranges are received. Four transmissions are depicted in FIG. 4, with five aircraft at five different angular locations with respect to the antenna patterns, specifically, at -40 degrees, -5 degrees, 0.0 degrees, +5 degrees and +40 degrees and at five ranges, as depicted in FIG. 3A. All echoes are shown normalized in range. In FIG. 4 (the timing sequence diagram for this implementation of the apparatus of FIG. 3A), the transmitter first radiates via the antenna 52 and is left connected to that antenna until after reception of the first pulse of the beacon reply, if the beacon has been triggered by its reception of adequate triggering power by the connection of and subsequent radiation of the transmitter signal by that antenna 52. With the reception of this first reply, the antennas are then rapidly switched via switch 56 so that the second pulse of the four pulse beacon reply will be received by antenna 54, and the third pulse by antenna 55, and the fourth pulse of antenna 53. The presence or absence of a received pulse and the amplitude of such pulses, for any specific combination of transmitting and receiving antennas, will depend on the angular location of the aircraft with respect to the noted antenna patterns 52a, 53a, 54a and 55a. Specifically, as can be noted in FIG. 4, for an aircraft at -40 degrees, the beacon will be triggered, since the aircraft at -40 degrees is within the coverage of antenna 52 with pattern 52a. Now, however, only the first pulse 76 of the four pulse reply of a received beacon signal will be received

via antenna 52 with pattern 52a, since the aircraft at
-40 degrees only falls within the coverage of that
antenna pattern 52a (i.e., the next three beacon pulses
will arrive back at the ground station when antennas 54,
05 55 and 53 are connected, and since an aircraft at -40
degrees falls outside the coverage of those antennas, a
detectable beacon signal will not be obtained by receiver
51). The receiving antenna timing sequence is provided
by "labelling" the received pulses with the last, by
10 digit, of the antenna that is connected to the receiver
when a particular pulse of a four pulse train is received.

For aircraft at -5 degrees, 0 degrees and 5
degrees, and at ranges 6, 8 and 10 miles, the beacon will
be triggered via use of antenna 52 and pulses will be
15 received for all switch positions and associated antennas
with relative amplitudes as shown. For an aircraft at
+40 degrees, only one beacon pulse 77 will be received,
on antenna 53 with pattern 53a, since the aircraft at
that location only falls within the coverage of that
20 antenna 53.

It can be noted that if the beacon replies with only
a single pulse or double pulse, as for example if the
aircraft already has installed a single pulse beacon,
then operation would be similar, except that the rate at
25 which data would be generated would be somewhat slower
(i.e., a complete set of guidance data would be received
only after four ground station transmissions.

The conventional technique for relaying GCA ground
derived guidance data is via voice relay, as in FIG. 2.
30 However, it is also practical to relay the data to

-24-

aircraft via a data link of some type, particularly a data link already installed for such purposes. This data link type of operation is depicted in FIG. 5, whereby the ground derived guidance data is used to modulate a data link ground station transmitter 80, with the radiated data being received in the aircraft data link receiver 81 and used to activate a pilot display 82, or being directly coupled to the autopilot for automatic control. Of particular interest in this connection is that the majority of U.S. Naval aircraft are equipped with both a suitable beacon and a NTDS (Naval Tactical Data System) data link, as depicted in FIG. 5.

In FIG. 5 there is shown a typical U.S. Naval aircraft 35 equipped with a suitable beacon and a data link receiver 81. This data link is used, in one U.S. Naval carrier based application, for direct input to the autopilot, for automatic landing control from the ship. In this shipboard application, the AN/SPN-42 landing system precisely locates the landing aircraft via a specialized shipboard automatic tracking radar and associated aircraft beacon, computes commands for landing purposes, and data links these commands to the aircraft autopilot. If, now, the corresponding ground station 80 portion of the data link is connected to the output of the processor 60, then guidance data can be replayed to the aircraft, also for automatic landing control purposes. This can be accomplished, without any modification to over several thousand U.S. Naval aircraft equipped as shown in FIG. 5, thus emphasizing one major immediate application of my invention.

JPC 4/24/87

Droned aircraft or Remote Pilotless Vehicles (RPV's), employed for tactical purposes, are also equipped with a data link for remote automatic control purpose and, hence, such droned aircraft can also be automatically recovered by my invention by only the addition of a suitable beacon. It should be understood, in this regard, that, throughout this specification, the term "aircraft" should be considered to encompass both manned and unmanned vehicles including spacecraft.

Another useful data link that can be employed is termed an ILS data link, as depicted in FIG. 6, for azimuth implementation. In FIG. 6, the output of the processor 60 of FIG. 3 is used to control the level of a 90 Hz oscillator 85 and a 150 Hz oscillator 86 that are used to modulate a 100 MHz transmitter 87 in accordance with ILS standards. This 100 MHz signal is radiated via antenna 88 to antenna 89 in the aircraft, which is connected to a conventional ILS localizer receiver 90. This receiver 90 detects this signal and uses it to drive an ILS display 91, in accordance with ILS practice, or uses it to activate the autopilot, also as in current practice for automatic recovery. In a simialr manner, the glideslope portion of my invention (not shown for purposes of simplicity) would suitably modulate a 300 MHz transmitter. In this way, aircraft suitably equipped with ILS avionics, which encompasses the vast majority of aircraft of interest, can be provided recovery guidance, using my invention, by the addition of a suitable airborne beacon, which beacon can be of the order of 10 cubic inches, and weigh less than a pound. As noted above, the majority of U.S. Naval aircraft already contain a suitable beacon.

Third Embodiment

In a further embodiment of my invention, I have found that it is possible to interleave both ground and air derived guidance modes of operation. The feasibility of interleaving is understandable once it is realized (in a first interleaving mode of operation) that the time required for radiation of signals from the ground station and the airborne reception and generation of air derived operation, as well as the time required for the radiation of signals from the ground and the corresponding reception of signals from the aircraft for generation of ground derived guidance, occupies a very small percentage of the total time available. Ample time also exists for a mode of operation which is based on the sequential and non-interfering operation of both air derived and ground derived guidance generation.

Turning to FIG. 7, there is shown the timing of such an operating mode. First, a two pulse interrogation (consisting of a high level pulse 92 for generating a skin echo and a low level pulse 93 for generating the required pulse pair for triggering the beacon) is radiated from the ground. All the required skin and beacon reply signals are received for aircraft, at a maximum desired range of fifteen miles, within less than 300 microseconds. A skin echo 94 from an aircraft at three miles is shown, as is a skin echo 95 with an associated single pulse beacon echo 96 for an aircraft at twelve miles. This operation is repeated at least 120 times a second to insure guidance loop stability, since I have found that a complete measurement of aircraft position is required 30 times a second, and four

transmissions are required to obtain adequate skin echo data for a complete position determination. The next such transmission for generation of ground derived landing guidance will thus occur approximately 9000
05 microseconds later. Thus, there is ample time in between such transmissions to radiate the signals required for air derived operation as shown in FIG. 7. These low level transmissions 160 are shown being radiated some 300
10 microseconds after the radiation of the signal(s) required for generation of ground derived landing guidance.

In another mode of operation, I have found that it is possible to radiate only one sequence of transmissions that will provide both the required signals in the
15 aircraft for the generation of air derived guidance, and will generate either a skin echo or beacon reply for the generation of ground derived landing guidance. This is discussed below with reference to FIG. 8.

In FIG. 8, the ground based guidance system first
20 transmits a series of pulses 97 for generation of air derived guidance from each antenna, as in FIG. 1, instead of the nominal single or double pulse transmission required for skin echo or beacon transmission generation, as discussed with respect to FIG's. 3, 4 and 7. These
25 pulse sequences, as noted previously with respect to FIG. 1, are used to identify a particular guidance beam, and/or to transmit data to the aircraft such as the location of particular guidance equipment with respect to the runway, for example. In addition to the pulses
30 utilized in the aircraft for the generation of air derived data, two pulses 98 are radiated with a spacing

05 corresponding to the code set into the receiver portion
of an airborne beacon that might be utilized in those
aircraft not having an air derived landing guidance
processor. One of these pulses 99 could be high level to
10 generate a skin echo reply, with an associated low level
pulse 100 (just as that shown in FIG. 7). In the
aircraft, the signals used for generation of air derived
guidance are received as before and used, as in my U.S.
Patent 4,429,312 to generate air derived guidance data,
15 provided the aircraft has an appropriate processor.

Moreover, if the aircraft does not have an
appropriate processor but only a beacon, then the beacon
transmission, as triggered by the reception of the two
added pulses with a coded spacing, is received on the
15 ground and the antennas appropriately switched, on
reception of such beacon signals, to permit generation of
ground derived guidance data, for relay to the aircraft
via voice or a data link. Of significance to the
interleaving process is the timing sequence.
20 Specifically, for guidance loop stability purposes, I
have found that it is necessary to generate data at about
a nominal 30 Hz rate; therefore, data would be
transmitted at 120 Hz rate in order to obtain adequate
skin echo data.

25 Now, the transmitting sequence of pulses used to
generate the airborne guidance data might occupy 40
microseconds, based on transmitting six pulses for air
derived guidance data pulse, and the two coded pulses
required for beacon triggering. The airborne beacon,
30 therefore, replies after a fixed delay following
reception of this code, say a nominal ten microseconds.

-29-

It replies with a four pulse code, as is discussed previously, with pulses separated by say, 5 microseconds. Assuming two aircraft are on final at five and fifteen miles with the fifteen mile aircraft equipped with a beacon and a round trip propagation time of about 12 microseconds per mile, then the timing sequence would be as shown. Specifically, a skin echo 101 will be received from the aircraft at five miles, and a skin echo 102 and a four pulse beacon reply 103 will be received from the aircraft at 15 miles. For a maximum aircraft range of fifteen miles, as discussed previously, the total sequence would last less than 300 microseconds, which is much less than the (9 ms) spacing between the ground station transmissions.

FIG. 9 provides a graphical representation of the interleaved air derived and ground derived operation, for either of the above discussed two modes of interleaving (FIG's. 7 and 8). In FIG. 9, there are shown two aircraft at different ranges and at different positions with respect to the desired landing path. The aircraft 150 at the greatest range is configured with a processor and associated receiver which is generating the proper pilot display 104 showing the aircraft on glideslope, left of course. The other aircraft 200 is configured with a beacon and the ground derived display 105 shows the proper aircraft position, below glideslope and on centerline. The data from the display 105 would then be relayed to the pilot of the beacon equipped aircraft 200 via voice or data link 300. However, if the ground based transmitter is powerful enough, then a usable skin echo will be generated and a beacon will not be required. In accordance with my previous explanation, guidance may be

relayed to the aircraft via either voice, an ILS data line, NTDS (Naval Tactical Data System), a drone control link, or other suitable type of data link, such as for example, encoding of the ground station transmission.

05 Thus, it should be apparent that numerous
variations and modifications may be effected without
departing from the true spirit and scope of the novel
concept of my invention. For example, the airborne
transmission need not be initiated by reception of a
10 similar or same frequency signal from the ground station,
as discussed above, but can be initiated by other
frequency ground station transmissions (i.e., such as a
TACAN ground station transmission, operating in the
Air-to-Air mode). That transmission, when received in
15 corresponding airborne TACAN equipment, (which is carried
by all U.S. military aircraft) can be used to initiate
the desired airborne landing system radiations for ground
based reception and guidance generation purposes. All
that is required at the ground station is low powered
20 TACAN transmitting equipment to initiate the airborne
transmission, with knowledge of the time at which such
transmission was initiated.

 Alternatively, the airborne transmission can be
free running and coded with identity, and the ground
25 station processor can receive such transmission and
generate guidance data for relay to the specific aircraft
with the identified code.

 Alternatively, the transmission from the aircraft
can be initiated at a known and assigned time, in a
30 common clock time, such as GPS clock time, as is

-31-

described in my U.S. patent application Serial No. 863,662 filed on May 15, 1986 and entitled "Advanced Instrument Landing System". It should be noted that, in the general sense, the controlled initiation of such airborne transmissions of signals for remote guidance generation by the use of paired, overlapping receiving beams, is accomplished at a known time with respect to a time clock at the remote guidance station. As noted above, this initiation can be accomplished at a known and assigned time, at both the guidance station(s) and in the aircraft. Alternatively, the airborne transmission can be initiated by a guidance station clock triggering a ground transmission and initiating an airborne transmission. This airborne transmission is then range tracked at the guidance station. Such range tracking establishes the range between the guidance station and the airborne transmitter radiating such airborne transmissions, and hence establishes the propagation time between such guidance stations and the airborne transmitter. This propagation time can then be used to establish the known time at which such airborne transmission occurred, in the guidance station time base. A similar analysis applies to the airborne radiated skin echo resulting from a transmission from a guidance station in such guidance stations' time base.

It is also possible, where only one aircraft is involved at a time, to use a very low powered continuously radiated (CW) beacon. In that case, it is possible to use four receivers, continuously attached to each of the four antennas, and to compare the output of such continuous wave receivers to generate guidance data. Using only one receiver, switched to the various

antennas, is also practical for such a continuous wave beacon. Of course, multiple receivers, each permanently attached to each antenna with their outputs compared, can also be used for non-continuous wave airborne
05 transmitting sources (i.e., pulsed sources transmitters, as discussed previously).

In still another application of my invention, the equipment which heretofore associated with the ground (i.e., the receiver, the paired antennas and the
10 processor) may be installed on a mobile platform such as a truck, or an aircraft carrier, or even another aircraft. In particular, the antennas may be installed on a tanker aircraft which is used to refuel another, and usually smaller, aircraft. Because of the small volume
15 of equipment involved, such an addition could be made to existing tanker aircraft while not adversely effecting the weight distribution and flying characteristics of the tanker aircraft.

From the foregoing, it will be appreciated that
20 there are various applications for my guidance system to provide guidance to a vehicle (i.e., an aircraft in flight) moving relative to a reference platform (i.e., another aircraft or surface vehicle), whereby the reference platform may or may not be moving.
25 Environments in which such a guidance system can be usefully employed include airborne aircraft refueling operations, docking maneuvers in space, airborne space vehicle recovery operations, and the guidance of aircraft, under IFR (Instrument Flight Rules) to visual
30 contact with a landing area. Such systems also find use in the testing of military aircraft electronic systems,

by providing an accurate indication of the orientation of a targeted object with respect to a source of ordinance, for example, for comparison purposes.

05 Accordingly, it is intended to cover all such alternatives, modifications and variations, as set forth in the scope of the claims which follow.

-34-

CLAIMS

I Claim:

1. A system for providing guidance to one or more aircraft with respect to a predetermined landing, takeoff, or missed approach guidance path, comprising:

05 (a) a source of signals radiated by at least one aircraft; and

(b) one or more guidance stations, each station having: one or more pairs of antennas with each antenna pair having fixed, overlapping radiation patterns symetrically located with respect to the guidance path, a receiving system connected to said antennas for detecting
10 said radiated signals, and a processing system connected to said receiving system for measuring the relative intensity of said radiated signals as received at each antenna of said antenna pair and for using said relative
15 signal intensity to determine the location of the aircraft relative to the guidance path, whereby information is generated for guiding the aircraft along the predetermined guidance path.

2. A system as recited in Claim 1, further including means for relaying said guidance information to one aircraft for use in guiding said one aircraft along the predetermined guidance path.

3. A system as recited in Claim 2, wherein said guidance information is relayed to said one aircraft via voice communication from the ground to the pilot of the aircraft for use in flying a predetermined landing
05 path.

4. A system as recited in Claim 2, wherein said guidance information is relayed to said one aircraft via a radio frequency encoded data link for use therein in flying a predetermined landing path.

5. A system as recited in Claim 2, wherein said data link employs an ILS localizer and glideslope radio frequencies and the modulation of such radio frequencies is in accordance with ILS modulation standards.

6. A system as recited in Claim 1, wherein said receiving system consists of multiple receivers separately connected to each antenna of said antenna pair.

7. A system as recited in Claim 1, wherein said receiving system consists of one receiver sequentially switched to each antenna of said antenna pair in a predetermined time sequence.

8. A system as recited in Claim 1, wherein said source of radiated signals is an active transmitter carried by the aircraft.

9. A system as recited in Claim 8, wherein said active transmitter operates independently of said guidance station.

10. A system as recited in Claim 8, wherein said active transmitter has an associated receiver that receives a signal radiated by a transmitter associated with said guidance station and uses such signal to trigger said active transmitter, said active transmitter radiating a predetermined pulse train comprising one or more pulses in a predetermined time sequence.

-36-

11. A system as recited in Claim 10, wherein said guidance station processing system includes means for range tracking said signal from said active transmitter.

12. A system as recited in Claim 11, wherein said processing system sequentially switches said receiver to each antenna of said antenna pair in a predetermined time sequence, said predetermined time sequence being
05 determined by:

(a) the time of reception of said signal from said active transmitter as established by said range tracking means; and

(b) the prescribed time sequence of the
10 predetermined signal structure radiated by the aircraft.

13. A system as recited in Claim 12, wherein said pulse train includes multiple pulses corresponding to the number of antennas of said guidance station and said processing system switches said guidance station receiver
05 to a first antenna of said antenna pair to receive the first pulse of said predetermined signal structure and sequentially switches said receiver to additional antennas to successively receive the additional pulses of said predetermined pulse train.

14. A system as recited in claim 1, further including:

(a) transmitter means, associated with said receiving and processing system and utilizing said pair of antennas, for transmitting a sequence of a pair of
05 overlapping, fixed antenna guidance beams, symmetrically located with respect to the guidance path; and

(b) airborne receiving and processing means, in at least one aircraft, for deriving from the relative signal intensity of said paired overlapping guidance beams information for guiding that aircraft along said guidance path.

10 15. A system as recited in Claim 14, wherein said guidance station transmitter means and said guidance station receiving and processing means comprises one receiver and one transmitter sequentially switched to
05 each antenna of said antenna pair in a predetermined time sequence.

16. A system as recited in Claim 15, wherein said one transmitter, said antenna pair and said airborne receiving and processing means are of the type described in U.S. Patent 4,429,312.

17. A system as recited in Claim 15, wherein said airborne receiving and processing means is essentially the same as said guidance station receiving system and processing system.

18. A system as recited in Claim 15, wherein

(a) said source of signals on at least one aircraft is an active transmitter carried by the aircraft, said active transmitter having an associated
05 receiver that receives and outputs the signal radiated by said transmitter at said guidance station to trigger said active transmitter, said active transmitter radiating a signal structure comprising a pulse train of one or more pulses in predetermined time sequence; and

10 (b) wherein the source of signals on at least one other aircraft is obtained as a result of said other aircraft reflecting signals in the form of skin echo from said transmitter means located at said guidance station.

19. A system as recited in Claim 18, wherein said guidance station processing system includes means for range tracking said sources of said signals.

20. A system as recited in Claim 19, wherein said processing means switches said transmitter and said receiver to each antenna of said antenna pair in a predetermined sequence, determined by:

05 (a) the interleaving of those guidance station transmissions used to generate guidance signals in those aircraft having said receiving and processing means, and those guidance station transmissions used to generate aircraft radiated signals for guidance generation at said
10 guidance station;

 (b) the time of reception of signals arriving from the aircraft, as established by said range tracking means; and

 (c) the signal structure of the signal radiated
15 by the active transmitter in those aircraft.

21. In a landing, takeoff, or missed approach guidance system for aircraft, comprising:

 one or more guidance stations each having transmitting and receiving means;

05 receiving and signal processing means in some aircraft;

receiving and signal transmitting means in other aircraft; and

10 signal reflecting means on all aircraft, each station defining a repeating sequence of guidance station time intervals for accommodating the generation of plural guidance functions including guidance station radiated fixed beam guidance functions which are paired to overlap
;5 along a predetermined guidance path where their mutual intensities are equal and guidance station fixed beam receiving guidance functions which are paired to overlap along the predetermined guidance path where their mutual sensitivities are equal, a method of providing guidance to the aircraft comprising the steps of:

20 (a) receiving guidance station radiated guidance beam functions in the aircraft and deriving output guidance information based thereon;

(b) receiving airborne radiated signals at said guidance station and deriving output guidance
25 information based thereon; and

(c) communicating said output guidance information at said guidance station to the aircraft radiating said signals.

22. The method as recited in Claim 21, wherein said aircraft are guided using said information derived in said aircraft in the absence of said guidance station derived information.

23. The method as recited in Claim 21, wherein said aircraft are guided using said information derived on the ground and communicated to those aircraft in the absence of said air derived guidance information.

24. A system for providing guidance for an aircraft flying along a predetermined guidance path, comprising:

(a) a signal source identifiable with the aircraft; and

05 (b) a guidance station having at least one pair of antennas defining a fixed, overlapping directional sensitivity pattern which is symmetrically located relative to the path, a receiving system connected to said antennas for detecting signals from the aircraft,
10 and a processor connected to said receiving system for using the relative intensity of said signals to develop data representative of the location of the aircraft relative to said path.

25. A system as recited in Claim 24, further including means for communicating said data to the aircraft from said guidance station.

26. A system as recited in Claim 25, wherein said means for communicating data is a radio frequency encoded data link for use within the aircraft to guide the aircraft along said predetermined guidance path.

27. A system as recited in Claim 24, wherein said signal source comprises a radio transmitter carried by the aircraft, said transmitter being triggered at a known clock time with respect to the time base of a time clock
05 installed at the guidance station, said transmitter transmitting one or more pulses in a predetermined time sequence; and

10 wherein said pulses are range tracked by said guidance station processor, in said guidance station time base.

28. A system as recited in Claim 27, wherein one receiver is employed, said one receiver being sequentially switched to each of said antennas of said antenna pairs in a predetermined sequence, the predetermined sequence being determined by:

(a) the time of reception of said signal pulses transmitted by the aircraft; and

(b) said predetermined time sequence of said pulses.

29. A system as recited in Claim 24, further including:

(a) transmitter means, associated with said receiving system and said processor and utilizing said antennas, for transmitting a sequence of one or more pairs of overlapping, fixed antenna guidance beams symmetrically located with respect to the guidance path; and

(b) receiving and processing means, in at least one aircraft, for deriving information from the relative signal intensity of said paired, overlapping guidance station guidance beams for guiding said one aircraft along the guidance path.

30. A system as recited in Claim 29, wherein said transmitter means is ground located and comprises one receiver and a transmitter sequentially switched to each antenna of said antenna pair in a predetermined time sequence.

31. A system as recited in Claim 30, wherein:

(a) at least two aircraft are to be guided;

(b) said source of signals on said at least one aircraft comprises a radio transmitter, said

05 radio transmitter being triggered at a known clock time
with respect to a time base of a time clock installed at
said guidance station, said radio transmitter
transmitting one or more pulses in a predetermined time
time sequence;

10 (c) wherein the source of signals on at least one
other aircraft results from that aircraft reflecting
signals from said ground located transmitter; and

(d) wherein said airborne signal sources are
range tracked by said guidance station processor.

32. A system as recited in Claim 31, wherein said
processor switches said transmitter and receiving system
to each antenna of said antenna pair in a predetermined
sequence, said predetermined sequence being determined by:

05 (a) the interleaving of those guidance station
transmissions used to generate guidance information in
aircraft equipped with said receiving and processing
means and those guidance station transmissions resulting
in the radiation of signals from aircraft for guidance
10 station reception and guidance information generation; and

(b) the time of reception of signals arriving
from the aircraft, as established by the range tracking
function of said processor; and

15 (c) the predetermined time sequence of the pulse
train radiated by the radio transmitter.

33. A system for guiding an aircraft, comprising:
at least one antenna pair defining fixed,
overlapping reception patterns symmetrically located on
opposite sides of a selected guidance path;

05 a receiver, using said antenna pair, for detecting
microwave signals from the aircraft; and

processing means for measuring the relative intensity of said signals received by said antenna pair, said processing means comprising means for determining the location of the aircraft relative to the intersection of said paired, overlapping beams, whereby information is obtained which is of use to the aircraft in flying along said guidance path.

34. A system as set forth in Claim 33, wherein said pair of antennas are located on another aircraft.

35. A method for providing guidance to one or more aircraft to follow a prescribed flight path, comprising the steps of:

(a) providing a source of electromagnetic signals coming from the aircraft;

(b) detecting said signals from the aircraft at a guidance station using one or more pairs of antennas with each antenna pair defining fixed, overlapping directional sensitivity patterns symmetrically located relative to the flight path;

(c) interpreting the relative intensity of said signals received at each antenna of said antenna pair to determine information as to the location of the aircraft relative to the centerline of the flight path; and

(d) relaying said relative location information to the aircraft.

36. A method as recited in Claim 35, wherein said signals from some aircraft are provided from a transmitter carried aboard the aircraft.

37. A method as recited in Claim 36, wherein said signals from some aircraft are also provided by reflecting signals radiated from a transmitter associated with said guidance station.

38. A method as recited in Claim 36, wherein said aircraft transmitter is operated in response to a signal received from a guidance station located transmitter.

05 39. A method as recited in Claim 35, wherein said signals are detected and interpreted by using one ground based receiver and one signal processor, said one receiver being sequentially switched to each of the antennas of said one or more pairs of antennas.

05 40. A method as recited in Claim 35, wherein said signals coming from the aircraft are detected and interpreted by using one receiver which is connected to individual antennas of said one or more pairs of antennas in a time sequence that is controlled by said processor as a function of the time at which the signals from the aircraft arrive at said one receiver and the structure of said signals coming from the aircraft.

05 41. A method as recited in Claim 35, wherein said relative location information is relayed to the aircraft via a radio frequency encoded data link of the type which employs an ILS localizer and glideslope radio frequencies and the modulation of such radio frequencies is in accordance with ILS modulation standards.

42. A method as recited in Claim 37, wherein said guidance station transmitter is sequentially switched to

05 each antenna of said antenna pair to radiate guidance beams to provide aircraft guidance of the type described in U.S. Patent 4,428,312.

05 43. A method as recited in Claim 42, further including receiving and processing means in some aircraft for deriving and utilizing from the relative signal intensity of said guidance beams information for guiding the aircraft along said guidance path.

44. Apparatus for guiding an aircraft along a path, comprising:

05 (a) transmitting means, at one location along the path, for transmitting in the direction of the aircraft a sequence of paired, overlapping, fixed antenna beams which are symmetrically located relative to the path;

(b) aircraft means, in the aircraft, for deriving from said paired, overlapping antenna guidance beams information for guiding the aircraft along said path; and

10 (c) base means, at said one location, for deriving information for guiding the aircraft along the path by using radiation returned from the aircraft in response to the transmission of said beams.

45. The apparatus of Claim 44, further including means, at said one location, for transmitting to the aircraft said information derived at said one location.

46. The apparatus of Claim 44, wherein said transmitter means comprises one or more pairs of antennas, a transmitter and a switch for switching said transmitter to each antenna of said antenna pairs, and wherein said

05 base means comprises a receiver which is sequentially switched to said antennas by said switch, and a signal processor connected to said receiver.

47. The apparatus of Claim 45, wherein said one location is on the surface of the earth.

48. The apparatus of Claim 45, wherein said one location is an airborne location..

49. The apparatus of Claim 45, wherein said airborne location is on a tanker aircraft.

50. The apparatus of Claim 44, wherein said aircraft means comprises means for displaying said one location derived guidance information in the absence of said aircraft derived guidance information.

51. The apparatus of Claim 44, wherein said aircraft is guided to said one location using said air derived information in the event that said information derived at said one location is not transmitted to the aircraft.

52. Apparatus for guiding one aircraft to a second aircraft for airborne refueling, comprising:

(a) means for communicating from the second aircraft to the first aircraft;

05 (b) tanker-based transmitter means, on the second aircraft, for transmitting pulse sequences to the one aircraft, each sequence comprising multiple guidance pulse signals having predetermined time spacings and the sequences occurring at spaced time intervals,

10 said tanker-based transmitter means comprising a
transmitter and antenna means, said antenna means having
plural directional radiating members for radiating
signals from said transmitter along and adjacent to the
guidance path to be flown by the one aircraft, said
15 radiating members being arranged in pairs to radiate in
response to said transmitter paired guidance signals,

 said tanker-based transmitter means further
comprising timing and switching means, coupled to said
transmitter and said antenna means, for coupling
20 different directional radiating members to said
transmitter thereby to identify the directional radiating
members in accordance with the time position in the
sequences of the guidance signals which are radiated; and

(c) airborne means, in the one aircraft, for
25 receiving and detecting the radiated pulsed signals in
said sequence,

 said airborne means comprising a radio receiver and
indicator means for indicating the degree of deviation of
said one aircraft from said guidance path,

30 said airborne means further comprising pulse
processor means which is responsive to the received
pulsed signals and to their time positions in the
sequence and which operates to pair the received pulsed
signals and to compare the intensities of the pulsed
35 signals from each pair of directional radiating members
and to deliver to said indicator means output signals
representing the relative intensities of the respective
paired pulsed signals to control said indicator means for
indicating deviation of said one aircraft from the
40 guidance path.

-48-

53. The apparatus of Claim 52, further including:

(a) in the one aircraft a pulsed radio transmitter; and

05 (b) in the second aircraft a radio receiver, indicator means and pulse processor means substantially the same as that in said one aircraft, whereby in the absence of said airborne means in said one aircraft, said one aircraft is guided to said second aircraft using said communications means and said indicator means in the
10 second aircraft.

54. Apparatus for guiding an aircraft carrying a radio beacon, a pilot and radio communications equipment, comprising:

05 (a) a control station having at least one pair of antennas defining an overlapping, directionally sensitive pattern which is symmetrically located relative to a designated flight path;

10 (b) signal timing and switching means, for connecting a radio receiver to each antenna of said pair, said timing and switching means being operative to couple different antennas of said pair to a receiver to receive successive pulses from said radio beacon;

15 (c) a processor, at said guidance station and responsive to the received pulse signals and to their timed positions in the sequence, for pairing the received pulse signals and for comparing the intensities of the pulse signals received at said pair of antennas to derive data representing the degree of deviation of the aircraft from the approach path; and

20 (d) radio communication equipment at said guidance station for communication such deviation data to the pilot of the aircraft.

55. Apparatus for guiding a remote controlled aircraft to a landing, comprising;

05 (a) a control station having at least one pair of antennas defining a fixed, overlapping, directionally sensitive pattern which is symmetrically located relative to a designated landing path;

10 (b) signal timing and switching means, for sequentially connecting a radio receiver to each antenna of said pair, said timing and switching means being operative to couple different antennas of said pair to a receiver to receive successive radio signals from the aircraft; and

15 (c) a processor, at said control station and responsive to the received radio signals and to their timed positions in the sequence, for pairing the received radio signals and for comparing their intensities to drive a display of the deviation of the aircraft from the landing path.

56. Apparatus, comprising:

(a) active means, in an aircraft and operating in response to a transmission to said aircraft, for transmitting a signal from said aircraft to another site;





05 (b) passive means for transmitting another signal from said aircraft to said site in response to said transmission to said aircraft; and

10 (c) means, at said site, for time division multiplexing the signals received from said active means with the signals received from said passive means, said time division multiplexing means comprising a plurality of antennas which are symmetrically disposed and have fixed, overlapping, directional sensitivity patterns

15 relative to a predetermined flight path for the aircraft,
a microwave radio receiver, and a radio signal processor
for deriving information as to the location of said
aircraft relative to said flight path from said received
signals..

57. The apparatus of Claim 56, further including means,
at said site, for transmitting said information to said
aircraft.

58. The apparatus of Claim 56, wherein said passive
means comprises means for reflecting at least a portion
of a radio transmission from said site back to said site.






FIG. 2A (PRIOR ART)




FIG. 2B (PRIOR ART)




FIG. 3A




FIG. 3C




FIG. 3B






FIG. 4






FIG. 7




FIG. 8




FIG.9

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No PCT/US88/00810

I. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER (if several classification symbols apply, indicate all) ³		
According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both National Classification and IPC INT.CL.4 G01S 13/06, 13/48 U.S.CL. 342/35		
II. FIELDS SEARCHED		
Minimum Documentation Searched ⁴		
Classification System ¹	Classification Symbols	
U.S.CL.	342/33, 34, 35, 386, 410, 411, 413, 456	
Documentation Searched other than Minimum Documentation to the Extent that such Documents are Included in the Fields Searched ⁵		
III. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT ¹⁴		
Category [*]	Citation of Document, ¹⁶ with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages ¹⁷	Relevant to Claim No. ¹⁸
A	US,A, 4,429,312 PUBLISHED 31 JANUARY 1984 CHISHOLM.	1-58
A,P	US,A, 4,680,587 PUBLISHED 14 JULY 1987 CHISHOLM.	1-58
A	US,A, 3,967,278 PUBLISHED 29 JUNE 1976 BUEHLER ET AL.	1-58
Y	US,A, 3,564,543 PUBLISHED 16 FEBRUARY 1971 NEHAMA ET AL. SEE EXAMPLE; COLUMN 4, LINES 10+.	1-58
Y	US,A, 3,164,827 PUBLISHED 05 JANUARY 1965 PREIKSCHAT. SEE EXAMPLES; FIGURE 1, COLUMN 2, LINE 38-COLUMN 4, LINE 36.	1-58
Y	US,A, 3,101,471 PUBLISHED 20 AUGUST 1963 STAUIS. SEE EXAMPLES; FIGURES 1 AND 2.	1-58
<p>[*] Special categories of cited documents: ¹⁵</p> <p>"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance</p> <p>"E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date</p> <p>"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)</p> <p>"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means</p> <p>"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed</p> <p>"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention</p> <p>"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step</p> <p>"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.</p> <p>"&" document member of the same patent family</p>		
IV. CERTIFICATION		
Date of the Actual Completion of the International Search ²	Date of Mailing of this International Search Report ²	
17 JUNE 1988	13 JUL 1988	
International Searching Authority ¹	Signature of Authorized Officer ¹⁹	
ISA/US	JOHN B. SOTOMAYOR	

FURTHER INFORMATION CONTINUED FROM THE SECOND SHEET

Y	US,A, 4,454,510 PUBLISHED 12 JUNE 1984 CROW. SEE EXAMPLE COLUMN 2, LINE 40- COLUMN 4, LINE 38.	1-58
Y	US,A, 4,418,349 PUBLISHED 29 NOVEMBER 1983 HOFGEN ET AL. SEE FIGURE 1.	1-58
A	US,A, 3,350,714 PUBLISHED 31 OCTOBER 1967 WALKER ET AL.	1-58
A	US,A, 3,181,153 PUBLISHED 29 APRIL 1965 CELLA.	1-58

V. OBSERVATIONS WHERE CERTAIN CLAIMS WERE FOUND UNSEARCHABLE ¹⁰

This international search report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2) (a) for the following reasons:

1. Claim numbers _____, because they relate to subject matter ¹² not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:
2. Claim numbers _____, because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out ¹³, specifically:

VI. OBSERVATIONS WHERE UNITY OF INVENTION IS LACKING ¹¹

This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application as follows:

1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims of the international application.
2. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims of the international application for which fees were paid, specifically claims:
3. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claim numbers:
4. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, the International Searching Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.

Remark on Protest

- The additional search fees were accompanied by applicant's protest.
- No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.